



The Generalized Randers Change of the More Generalized m -th Root Metrics

Abolfazl Taleshian* and Dordi Mohamad Saghali

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

*Corresponding author's Email: taleshian@umz.ac.ir

Abstract

A change of Finsler metric $F(x, y) \rightarrow \bar{F}(x, y)$ is called a generalized Randers change of F , if $\bar{F}(x, y) = F(x, y) + b_i(x, y)y^i$, where $b_i(x, y)$ is h -vector in (M, F) . The purpose of the present paper is devoted to studying the conditions for more generalized m -th root metrics $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$, when is established generalized Randers change and m_1, m_2 are even numbers. Then, we prove that under these conditions generalized Randers metric reduces to Randers metric. Finally, in the special case, we will give conditions for more generalized \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2 , when is established Randers and conformal β -changes for the case of m_1, m_2 are even numbers.

Keywords: m -th root metric; more generalized m -th root metric; generalized Randers change.

1. Introduction

Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional Finsler manifold. Various Finsler changes have been studied by many distinguished mathematicians. For a differential one-form $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$ on M , G. Randers [1], in 1941, introduced a special Finsler space defined by the change

$$\bar{F}(x, y) = F(x, y) + \beta(x, y), \quad (1.1)$$

where F is Riemannian. Randers metrics are among the simplest non-Riemannian Finsler metrics. M. Matsumoto [2], in 1974, studied Randers space and generalized Randers space in which F is



Finslerian. In 1980, H. Izumi [3] introduced the concept of an h -vector b_i , while studying the conformal transformation of Finsler spaces. Then, instead of the function b_i of coordinates x^i only, we will use the h -vector $b_i(x, y)$ and define the generalized Randers change

$$\bar{F}(x, y) = F(x, y) + b_i(x, y)y^i. \tag{1.2}$$

We can find some results regarding the generalized Randers change in B. N. Prasad [4] and M. Gupta and P. Pandey [5]. In 1979, Shimada [6] introduced the m -th root metric on the differentiable manifold M defined as:

$$F = \sqrt[m]{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}(x)y^{i_1}y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_m}} \tag{1.3}$$

where the coefficients $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$ are the components of symmetric covariant tensor field of order $(0, m)$ being the functions of positional co-ordinates only. Since then various geometers such as [7], [8] etc. have explored the theory of m -th root metric and studied its transformations.

There exist the following important two classes of Finsler metrics,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F} &= \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}, \\ \tilde{F} &= \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B + C}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where $A = a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}(x)y^{i_1}y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_m}$, $B = b_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$ and $C = c_k(x)y^k$, that is one 1-form. These forms are called a generalized m -th root metric and more general generalized m -th root metric, respectively. Obviously, \tilde{F} is not reversible Finsler metric and is Randers change of generalized m -th root metric \bar{F} . In [9], the authors have studied the geometric properties of locally projectively flat m -th root in the form $F = \sqrt[m]{A}$ and generalized m -th root in the form $\bar{F} = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}$. In [10], Tayebi-



Najafi characterizes locally dually flat and Antonelli m -th root metrics. They prove that every m -th root metric of isotropic mean Berwald curvature (resp., isotopic Landsberg curvature) reduces to a weakly Berwald metric (resp., Landsberg metric). They show that m -th root metric with almost vanishing H-curvature has vanishing H-curvature [11]. In [12], the authors express a necessary and sufficient condition for the metric $\bar{F} = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}$ that be locally dually flat. In [13], the authors have studied Berwald m -th root metrics. Y. Yu and Y. You show that an m -th root Einstein Finsler metric is Ricci-flat [14].

In this paper, we have considered a transformation of the more generalized m -th root metric such that it transforms to a similar metric as the generalized Randers one defined in (1.2) in a way that the Finslerian metric F is replaced with more generalized m -th root metric \tilde{F} defined in (1.4). Then, we

obtain the conditions among two more generalized m -th root metrics $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ due to generalized Randers change, when m_1, m_2 are even numbers. Next, we prove that under these conditions generalized Randers metric reduces to Randers metric.

Theorem 1. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers and $m_1, m_2 > 2$. If \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 , then \tilde{F}_1 reduces to a Randers β -change of \tilde{F}_2 .

In overall this paper,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{m_1}}(x) y^{i_1} y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_{m_1}}, \\
 A_2 &= \bar{a}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{m_2}}(x) y^{i_1} y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_{m_2}}, \\
 B_1 &= b_{ij}(x) y^i y^j, \quad B_2 = \bar{b}_{ij}(x) y^i y^j, \\
 C_1 &= c_k(x) y^k, \quad C_2 = \bar{c}_k(x) y^k,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.5}$$

and m_1, m_2 are belongs to natural numbers and $b_i(x, -y) = b_i(x, y)$.



2. Preliminaries

Let M be an n -dimensional C^∞ manifold. Denote by T_xM the tangent space at $x \in M$, and by $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_xM$, the tangent bundle of M . A Finsler metric on M is a function $F: TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which has the following properties: (i) F is C^∞ on the slit tangent bundle $TM_0 = TM - \{0\}$; (ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of the tangent bundle TM ; (iii) for each $y \in T_xM$, the following quadratic form g_y on T_xM is positive definite,

$$g_y(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} [F^2(y + su + tv)]|_{s,t=0}, \quad u, v \in T_xM. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $x \in M$ and $F_x := F|_{T_xM}$. For $y \in T_xM_0$, define $C_y: T_xM \otimes T_xM \otimes T_xM \rightarrow R$ by

$$C_y(u, v, w) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [g_{y+tw}(u, v)]|_{t=0}, \quad u, v, w \in T_xM. \tag{2.2}$$

The family $C := \{C_y\}_{y \in T_xM_0}$ is called the Cartan torsion. It is well known that $C = 0$ if and only if F is Riemannian. The h -vector b_i is v -covariant constant with respect to the Cartan connection and satisfies

$$FC_{i,j}^h b_h = \rho h_{ij}, \quad \rho \neq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where, $C_{ij}^h := g^{rh} C_{hjk}$ (where, g^{rh} and C_{hjk} are components inverse of g_{rh} and Cartan torsion, respectively.) is the Cartan's C -tensor, h_{ij} is the angular metric tensor and ρ is given by

$$\rho = \frac{FC^i b_i}{(n-1)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where, C^i is the torsion vector $C_{jk}^i g^{jk}$. Then, we have



$$\dot{\partial}_j b_i = \frac{\rho h_{ij}}{F} = \rho F_{y^i y^j} \neq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

Where, $\dot{\partial}_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$ and ρ is independent of directional arguments. We will use from equation (2.5), for the proof of theorem 1.

3. Proof of theorem 1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. To prove it, we need the following:

Theorem 2. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 = m_2$ and m_1 (or m_2) > 2 . If \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm A_2, B_1 = B_2$ and $C_1 = C_2 + b_i(x, y)y^i$.

Proof. For simplicity, we put $m_1 = m_2 = m$. Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 + C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 + C_2 + b_i(x, y)y^i}. \tag{3.1}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (3.1), we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 - C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 - C_2 - b_i(x, -y)y^i}. \tag{3.2}$$

Summing sides the two equations (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 = A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2. \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently, because of $m > 2$, we get the proof.



Theorem 3. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 = m_2$ and $m_1, m_2 > 2$. If \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 , then \tilde{F}_1 reduces to a Randers β -change of \tilde{F}_2 .

Proof. Suppose that \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 . Then

$$C_1 = C_2 + b_i(x, y)y^i. \tag{3.4}$$

Differentiating (3.4) with respect to y^k , we have

$$c_k(x) = \bar{c}_k(x) + b_k(x, y). \tag{3.5}$$

Then

$$\partial_j b_k(x, y) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, b_i are functions of coordinates x^i alone and from (2.4), b_i is not a h -vector.

Theorem 4. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $m_1 > m_2 > 2$. If \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm^{m_2} \sqrt{A_2^{m_1}}$, $B_1 = B_2$ and $C_1 = C_2 + b_i(x, y)y^i$.

Proof. Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2} + b_i(x, y)y^i. \tag{3.7}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (3.7), we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 - C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 - C_2} - b_i(x, -y)y^i. \tag{3.8}$$



Summing sides the two equations (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$A_1 \frac{2}{m_1} + B_1 = A_2 \frac{2}{m_2} + B_2. \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, because of $m_1 > m_2 > 2$, we get the proof.

Similar to theorem 3, we have the following result:

Corollary 5. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1 \frac{2}{m_1} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2 \frac{2}{m_2} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $m_1 > m_2 > 2$. If \tilde{F}_1 is generalized Randers change of \tilde{F}_2 , then \tilde{F}_1 reduces to a Randers β -change of \tilde{F}_2 .

Proof of theorem 1. From Theorems 3 and Corollary 5, we get of the proof.

4. Randers and conformal β -change

In 1976, M. Hashiguchi [15] studied the conformal change of Finsler metrics, namely, $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$. In particular, he also dealt with the special conformal transformation named C-conformal. This change has been studied by many authors ([16], [17]). In 2008, S. Abed ([18],[19]) introduced the transformation

$$\bar{F}(x, y) = e^{\sigma(x)}F(x, y) + \beta \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, he established the relationships between some important tensors associated with (M, F) and the corresponding tensors associated with (M, \bar{F}) . He also studied some invariant and σ -invariant properties and obtained a relationship between the Cartan connection associated with (M, F) and the transformed Cartan connection associated with (M, \bar{F}) .



In this section, we have considered two transformations of the more generalized m -th root metric such that it transforms to a similar metric as the Randers and conformal β -change one defined in (1.1) and (4.1), respectively, in a way that the Riemannian metric F is replaced with relation (1.4) and m_1, m_2 are even numbers.

case I: m_1, m_2 are even numbers and $m_1 = m_2$.

Theorem 6. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 = m_2$ and m_1 (or m_2) > 2 . Then

- (i) If \tilde{F}_1 is Randers β -change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm A_2, B_1 = B_2$ and $C_1 = C_2 + \beta$.
- (ii) If \tilde{F}_1 is conformal β -change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm e^{m_1 \sigma(x)} A_2$ (or $A_1 = \pm e^{m_2 \sigma(x)} A_2$), $B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2$ and $C_1 = e^{\sigma(x)} C_2 + \beta$.

Proof (i). For simplicity, we put $m_1 = m_2 = m$. Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 + C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 + C_2} + \beta. \tag{4.2}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (4.2), we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 - C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 - C_2} - \beta. \tag{4.3}$$

Summing sides the two equations (4.2) and (4.3), we have



$$A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 = A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Consequently, because of $m > 2$, we get the proof.

Proof (ii). For simplicity, we put $m_1 = m_2 = m$. Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 + C_1} = e^{\sigma(x)} (\sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 + C_2}) + \beta. \tag{4.5}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (4.5), we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 - C_1} = e^{\sigma(x)} (\sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_2 - C_2}) - \beta. \tag{4.6}$$

Summing sides the two equations (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$A_1^{\frac{2}{m}} + B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} A_2^{\frac{2}{m}} + e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2. \tag{4.7}$$

Consequently, because of $m > 2$, we get the proof.

Corollary 7. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 = m_2$. Then

- (i) If $B_1 = B_2$ and ${}^{m_1}\sqrt{A_1}, {}^{m_2}\sqrt{A_2}$ are Riemannian metrics, then $\tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{F}_2$ if and only if $C_1 = C_2$.
- (ii) If $B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2$ and ${}^{m_1}\sqrt{A_1}, {}^{m_2}\sqrt{A_2}$ are Riemannian metrics, then $\tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{F}_2$ if and only if $C_1 = e^{\sigma(x)} C_2$.

case 2: m_1, m_2 are even numbers and $m_1 \neq m_2$.



Theorem 8. Let $\tilde{F}_1 = \sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1}$ and $\tilde{F}_2 = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}$ are two more generalized m -th root metrics on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that m_1, m_2 are even numbers with $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $m_1 > m_2 > 2$. Then

- (i) If \tilde{F}_1 is Randers β -change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm \sqrt[m_2]{A_2^{m_1}}$, $B_1 = B_2$ and $C_1 = C_2 + \beta$.
- (ii) If \tilde{F}_1 is conformal β -change of \tilde{F}_2 , then $A_1 = \pm (e^{m_2 \sigma(x)} A_2)^{\frac{m_1}{m_2}}$, $B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2$ and $C_1 = e^{\sigma(x)} C_2 + \beta$.

Proof (i). Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2} + \beta. \tag{4.8}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (4.8), we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 - C_1} = \sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 - C_2} - \beta. \tag{4.9}$$

Summing sides the two equations (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 = A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2. \tag{4.10}$$

Consequently, because of $m_1 > m_2 > 2$, we get the proof.

Proof (ii). Under the assumption, we have

$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 + C_1} = e^{\sigma(x)} (\sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 + C_2}) + \beta. \tag{4.11}$$

By putting $(-y)$ instead of (y) in (4.11), we have



$$\sqrt{A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 - C_1} = e^{\sigma(x)} (\sqrt{A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + B_2 - C_2}) - \beta. \tag{4.12}$$

Summing sides the two equations (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$A_1^{\frac{2}{m_1}} + B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} A_2^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2. \tag{4.13}$$

Consequently, because of $m_1 > m_2 > 2$, we get $A_1 = \pm (e^{m_2\sigma(x)} A_2)^{\frac{m_1}{m_2}}$, $B_1 = e^{2\sigma(x)} B_2$ and then $C_1 = e^{\sigma(x)} C_2 + \beta$.

In above theorem, for sections of (i),(ii) we have the followings:

For (i). if $m_1 - m_2 = k$, where k is even number, then by (4.10), we get

(a₁) if $\frac{k}{m_2} > 1$, then

Case 1. $\frac{k}{m_2} = 2t$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{1+2t}$.

Case 2. $\frac{k}{m_2} = 2t + 1$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{2(1+t)}$.

Case 3. $m_2 \nmid k$. Because of $k = m_2q + r$, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{1+q+\frac{r}{m_2}}$.

(a₂) if $\frac{k}{m_2} < 1$, then

Case 1. $\frac{m_2}{k} = 2t$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{\frac{1+2t}{2t}}$.

Case 2. $\frac{m_2}{k} = 2t + 1$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{\frac{2+2t}{1+2t}}$.

Case 3. $k \nmid m_2$. Because of $m_2 = kq' + r'$, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^{1+\frac{k}{kq'+r'}}$.

(a₃) if $\frac{k}{m_2} = 1$, then, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm A_2^2$.

For (ii). if $m_1 - m_2 = k$, where k is even number, then by (4.13), we get



(a₁) if $\frac{k}{m_2} > 1$, then

Case 1. $\frac{k}{m_2} = 2t$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{\frac{k}{2t}\sigma(x)} A_2)^{1+2t}$.

Case 2. $\frac{k}{m_2} = 2t + 1$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{\frac{k}{2t+1}\sigma(x)} A_2)^{2(1+2t)}$.

Case 3. $m_2 \nmid k$. Because of $k = m_2q + r$, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{\frac{k-r}{q}\sigma(x)} A_2)^{1+q+\frac{r}{m_2}}$.

(a₂) if $\frac{k}{m_2} < 1$, then

Case 1. $\frac{m_2}{k} = 2t$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{2kt\sigma(x)} A_2)^{\frac{1+2t}{2t}}$.

Case 2. $\frac{m_2}{k} = 2t + 1$. Therefore, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{(2t+1)k\sigma(x)} A_2)^{\frac{2+2t}{1+2t}}$.

Case 3. $k \nmid m_2$. Because of $m_2 = kq' + r'$, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{(kq'+r')\sigma(x)} A_2)^{1+\frac{k}{kq'+r'}}$.

(a₃) if $\frac{k}{m_2} = 1$, then, from theorem 8, $A_1 = \pm(e^{k\sigma(x)} A_2)^2$.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express here his sincere gratitude to Dr. M. Rafie-rad for invaluable suggestions and encouragements.

References

- [1]. G. Randers, {On the asymmetrical metric in the four-space of general relativity}, *Phys. Rev.* (2) **59** (1941):195-199.
- [2]. M. Matsumoto, {On Finsler spaces with Randers metric and special forms of important tensors}, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **14** (1974):477-498.
- [3]. H. Izumi, {Conformal transformations Finsler spaces II. An h -conformally flat Finsler space}, *Tensor (N.S.)*, **34** (1980):337-359.



-
- [4]. B. N. Prasad, {On the torsion tensors R_{hjk} and P_{hjk} of Finsler spaces with a metric $ds = (g_{ij}(dx)dx^i dx^j)^{\frac{1}{2}} + b_i(x,y)dx^i$, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **21** (1990):27-39.
- [5]. M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey, {On hypersurface of a Finsler space with a special metric}, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **120** (2008):165-177.
- [6]. H. Shimada, {On Finsler spaces with metric $L = \sqrt[m]{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} y^{i_1} y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_m}}$ }, *Tensor(N.S)*, **33** (1979):365-372.
- [7]. B. N. Prasad and A. K. Dwivedi, {On conformal transformation of Finsler spaces with m -th root metric,} *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **33**(6) (2002):789-796.
- [8]. A. Srivastava and P. Arora, {Kropina change of m -th root metric and its conformal transformation} *Bull. of Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **103**(3) (2011).
- [9]. S. Zhang. D. Zu. B. Li, {projected flat m -th root Finsler metrics,} *J. Math. Ningbo Univ.*, **24** (3), (2010).
10. A. Tayebi, B. Najafi, {On m -th root Finsler metrics.} *Geometry and Physics*, (2011), **61**:1479-1484.
11. A. Tayebi, B. Najafi, {On m -th root metrics with special curvature properties.} *C. R. Acad. Sci, Ser. I* , **349** (2011):691-693.
12. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, M. S. Nia, {On generalized m -th root Finsler metrics.} *Linear Algebra Appl*, **437** (2012):675-683.
13. D. Zu, S. Zhang and B. Li, {On Berwald m -th root Finsler metrics,} *Publ. Math. Debercen*, **4994** (2012):1-9.
14. Y. Yu, Y. You, {On Einstein m -th root metrics.} *Differential Geometry and its Applications* , **28**, (2010):290-294.
15. M. Hashiguchi, {On conformal transformation of Finsler metrics,} *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **16** (1976):25-50.
16. H. Izumi, {Conformal transformations of Finsler spaces,} *Tensor, N. S.*, **31** (1977):33-41.
17. Nabil L. Youssef, S. H. Abed and A. Soleiman, {A global theory of conformal Finsler geometry,} *Tensor, N. S.*, **69** (2008):155-178.
18. S. H. Abed, {Conformal β -changes in Finsler spaces.} *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **86** (2008):79-89.
19. S. H. Abed, {Cartan connection associated with a β -conformal change in Finsler geometry.} *Tensor, N. S.*, **70** (2008):146-158.